

IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

CIVIL REVISION APPLICATION No 199 of 1984

Hon'ble MR.JUSTICE Y.B.BHATT

- =====
1. Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed : NO  
to see the judgements?
  2. To be referred to the Reporter or not? : NO
  3. Whether Their Lordships wish to see the fair copy : NO  
of the judgement?
  4. Whether this case involves a substantial question : NO  
of law as to the interpretation of the Constitution  
of India, 1950 of any Order made thereunder?
  5. Whether it is to be circulated to the Civil Judge? : NO

-----  
HIRALAXMI W/O NANDLAL M TRIVEDI

Versus

MANILAL PATEL & CO  
-----

Appearance:

MR MD PANDYA for Petitioner  
MR MI PATEL for Respondent No. 1  
-----

CORAM : MR.JUSTICE Y.B.BHATT

Date of decision: 25/02/2000

ORAL JUDGEMENT

1. Mr. M.D. Pandya, learned counsel for the petitioners states on information received that the sons of the present two petitioners have settled abroad and that the present petitioners have expired since long. This revision is accordingly disposed of as having abated under Order 22 of CPC and as having become infructuous. Rule is discharged with no order as to costs. Liberty to apply in case of difficulty.

\*\*\*\*\*